


**MOVING MANURE OFF A MONITORED PREMISES IN CONTROL AREA DURING A HIGHLY PATHOGENIC AVIAN INFLUENZA OUTBREAK**

**SASIDHAR MALLADI**

PETER BONNEY, AMOS SSEMATIMBA, ROSEMARY MARUSAK, MICKEY LEONARD,  
TIM GOLDSMITH, MARIE CULHANE, DAVID HALVORSON, CAROL CARDONA



1

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



---

---

---

**Background**

- Majority of the manure from egg-layer operations is land applied to fields as fertilizer
- In a HPAI outbreak, known infected premises would be depopulated
- Manure movement from uninfected premises in a Control Area is critical for business continuity
  - Limited storage capacity in some operations

2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



---

---

---

**Background**

- Movement and land application of manure is a known risk factor for HPAI
- We evaluated active surveillance and sequestration as mitigations for movement of manure off egg layer farms with manure belt systems
- Sequestered manure refers to removal from the house to a secure storage site without addition of or contact with fresh manure

3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Targeted Active Surveillance for HPAI

- Targeted active surveillance involves prioritizing dead and sick chickens for testing
  - Significantly improves detection relative to random sampling
  - Test all barns that contributed to the sequestration storage pile
- Protocols evaluated were based on daily dead bird testing at the barn level
  - 5 or 11 swab pooled sample for every 50 dead birds in a barn

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
Driven to Discover™

4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Manure Handling in Caged Layer Houses with Belts

- Manure drops onto a conveyor belt and is moved to the end of the house every 1-3 days
- Manure is then moved out of the building and may be
  - Land applied
  - Moved to onsite or off-farm storage
  - Heat treated or composted

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
Driven to Discover™

5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Example Timeline: Manure Movement from Belt Cage Houses with a Sequestration Period

With a 7-day sequestration, only manure removed a week prior (with reduced chances of HPAI contamination) could be moved before detection

With no sequestration manure removed on Thursday before detection (with a higher contamination risk) could be inadvertently moved to a field

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
Driven to Discover™

6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Methods: Disease Transmission Simulation Model

- Disease transmission model simulates HPAI spread within a layer barn
- Predicts susceptible, latent, infectious and dead birds over time
- Individual based model that enables flexible disease state duration inputs

7 UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover™

7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Adequate Contact Rate Scenarios

- Adequate contact rate is a key model parameter that impacts the rate of within flock disease transmission
- During the 2015 HPAI outbreak, some pullet flocks had mortality patterns that indicated slow spread. Available data from the 2022 outbreak did not indicate slow spread in layers
- We evaluated two scenarios for this parameter
  - Uniformly distributed: (1,5) birds per day under typical spread scenario
  - Uniformly distributed: (0.27,0.53) birds per day under slow-spread scenario

8 UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover™

8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Predicting the Likelihood of Moving Contaminated Manure Off a Premises

- The amount of HPAI virus in an 8000 kg manure load before detection was predicted given the:
  - Time to detection and sequestration holding time
  - HPAI virus concentration in feces from infectious hens ( $10^7$  EID<sub>50</sub> per-gram)
  - Number of infectious birds from transmission model
- Assumed daily removal of manure via belts
- Evaluated 0-, 3-, 5-, 7- and 10-day sequestration

REGINA BIRNBAUM, DVM, MS  
*Regular Article—*  
 Surveillance and Sequestration Strategies to Reduce the Likelihood of Transporting Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus Contaminated Layer Manure  
Souhar M. Malla,<sup>1</sup> J. Todd Watson,<sup>1</sup> Kara M. Lopez,<sup>1</sup> J. F. Erickson,<sup>2</sup> Patricia Wenger Jankala,<sup>3</sup> Jill Stover,<sup>4</sup> Don Bennett,<sup>5</sup> and David A. Harkness<sup>6</sup>

9 UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover™

9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Results: Likelihood of Moving HPAI Contaminated Manure Off the Premises Before Detection

Adequate contact rate scenario	Pool size for Daily RRT-PCR testing	Percentage of model runs where HPAI virus contaminated manure was moved off the premises				
		Sequestration period (days)				
		0	3	5	7	10
Typical	5	99	65	18	4	<1
	11	99	48	8	1	<1
Slow	5	99	88	69	50	28
	11	99	78	49	27	11

10
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
Driven to Discover™

10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Results: Mean HPAI Virus Concentration in Manure Loads Moved Off the Premises Before Detection

Adequate contact rate scenario	Pool size for Daily RRT-PCR testing	Mean H5N2 HPAI virus concentration (log <sub>10</sub> EID <sub>50</sub> per gram) in an 8 metric ton load (8000 kg) of manure moved				
		Sequestration period (days)				
		0	3	5	7	10
Typical	5	3.7	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.1
	11	3.4	2.3	2.1	2	1.4
Slow	5	3.2	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6
	11	3	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4

11
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
Driven to Discover™

11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

- ### Results Summary
- Longer sequestration periods (3-10 days) reduced the likelihood of moving contaminated manure loads and the quantity of feces from HPAI infected birds
  - Active surveillance protocols with 11-swab pooled samples had a lower likelihood of moving HPAI contaminated manure relative to using 5-swabs per pool
  - Likelihood of moving contaminated manure was higher under slow spread scenarios compared with the typical contact rate scenarios modeled
- 12
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
Driven to Discover™

12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

**Conclusion**

- Sequestered storage in conjunction with active surveillance can significantly reduce the likelihood and quantity of HPAI contaminated manure from infectious hens that is moved before detection
- The study results were used to inform Secure Poultry Supply plan permit guidance together with other applicable biosecurity measures
- This work has raised the possibility for assessing risk using similar testing and time mitigations for movement of other poultry products off of positive premises


 UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
 Driven to Discover™

13

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

**Management of Eggs in a Storage Cooler on an HPAI infected premises**

- Currently eggs in storage on a HPAI infected premises are composted or disposed in a landfill as part of the depopulation process.
- The likelihood of contamination of stored eggs may depend on the source and timing of collection.
  - Eggs from uninfected premises
  - Eggs from test-negative barns on the infected premises
  - Eggs laid by infected flocks several days before detection
  - Eggs laid by infected flocks close to detection (these are disposed)


 UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
 Driven to Discover™

14

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

**Future work: Risk Assessment for Movement of Eggs in Storage on a Positive premises**

- We seek input regarding potential risk assessment for moving eggs (from test negative barns) segregated and stored on an HPAI infected premises with appropriate mitigations.
- The assessment would help evaluate the role of active surveillance and minimum storage time as potential mitigations
- Evaluate the risk for movement of eggs on a positive premise for further processing (i.e. liquid egg) and other market channels


 UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
 Driven to Discover™

15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**The UMN Secure Food Systems Team** [securefoodsystems.umn.edu](https://securefoodsystems.umn.edu)

<p><b>Modeling Team</b></p> <div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Sasidhar Malladi, PhD</b> Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences University of Minnesota</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Peter Rossney, MS</b> Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences University of Minnesota</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Anus Sasimolnithy, PhD</b> Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences University of Minnesota</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Ben Blair, DVM, PhD</b> Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences University of Minnesota</p> </div> </div> </div>	<p><b>Risk Assessment Team</b></p> <div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Carla Alexander, DVM, MPH</b> Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences University of Minnesota</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Mickey Leonard, BA</b> Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences University of Minnesota</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Kathryn St. Charles, BS</b> Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences University of Minnesota</p> </div> </div> </div>	<p><b>Executive Team</b></p> <div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Carol Cardona</b></p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Tim Goldsmith</b></p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Dave Halvorson</b></p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Cesar Corzo</b></p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Marie Culhane</b></p> </div> </div> </div>
<p><b>Graduate Student</b></p> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 8px;"> <p><b>Miranda Medrano, DVM, MPH</b></p> </div> </div>	<p><b>Funding/Support:</b>                  USDA CEAH                  USDA NIFA AFRI                  USDA APHIS FADPrP                  State of Minnesota                  Ag Industry Groups</p>	

16 Images not credited were obtained from Creative Commons

**UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**  
 Driven to Discover™

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

16

THANK YOU!

Sasidhar malladi  
malla042@umn.edu

SECURE FOOD SYSTEMS  
Food systems solutions through risk-based science

**UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**  
 Driven to Discover™

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

17

Parameter estimates for the HPAI transmission model and active surveillance simulation scenarios

Parameter name	Parameter description	Distribution/Value
<b>Latent period distribution</b>	Length of the latent period	Gamma distributed: mean 0.71 days; standard deviation 0.48 days; shape 2.21; scale 0.32
<b>Infectious period distribution</b>	Length of the infectious period	Weibull distributed: mean 3.76 days; standard deviation 1.99 days; shape 1.97; scale 4.24
<b>Manure production rate</b>	Amount of manure per-hen per-day	80 grams per-hen per-day
<b>House size</b>	Number of layer hens per-house	100,000 birds
<b>rRT-PCR test sensitivity</b>	Probability of detection given that at least one swab from an infectious bird is included in the pool	Beta distributed: 0.865

**UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**  
 Driven to Discover™

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

18


**Application of Active Surveillance and Holding Time for Other Poultry Product Movements From a Control Area**

- Similar to manure sequestration holding time also reduces the number of contaminated eggs moved before detection
- A 2-day hold is required for several movements in the SES plan
  - Washed and sanitized shell eggs
  - Nest run eggs
  - Broiler, turkey and layer hatching eggs

0108 (REVISED 04/07/04) 2/02

**The Impact of Holding Time on the Likelihood of Moving Internally Contaminated Eggs from a Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Infected but Undetected Commercial Table-Egg Layer Flock**

Yasir Mubik<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Todd Wason<sup>1</sup>, Timothy Colburn<sup>4</sup>, William Staines<sup>5</sup>, Shama You<sup>6</sup>, Joel Fink<sup>4</sup>, Christina Dai<sup>7</sup>, Kaiti A. Spill<sup>8</sup>, Tracey L. Clark<sup>9</sup>, Margo Hines<sup>10</sup>, Fernando Sempels<sup>11</sup>, Brenda Lee<sup>12</sup>, and David A. Nisbet<sup>13</sup>



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
Driven to Discover™

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---